

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2235 of 2019
Date of Decision:20.02.2019

Lovepreet @ Happy

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.431 dated 26.12.2018, under Sections 454, 380 and 411 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

On 18.01.2019, while issuing notice of motion this Court has passed the following order:

“Contends that during investigation one Yashpal (Juvenile) was arrested, who made a disclosure statement implicating Vikas and Narender. Thereafter, Narender made a disclosure statement and petitioner was implicated by him.

Notice of motion for 20.02.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in terms of the order dated 18.01.2019, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from ASI Dharampal, the above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, the order dated 18.01.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

February 20, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no