

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6555 of 2019

Date of Decision : 11.03.2019

Sh. Ram Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Ankur Girdhar, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the pay of the petitioner needs to be fixed at par with his junior, a reserved category candidate, who was granted accelerated promotion prior to the petitioner by granting the benefit of reservation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the Instructions issued by the respondents-State dated 05.03.2009 in this regard (Annexure P-3). Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 06.09.2018 (Annexure P-5) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any

opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 06.09.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

March 11, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes
Whether reportable? No