

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3887 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 27, 2019

Dhara Singh & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Raj Kaur @ Rajbinder Kaur & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Khunger, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the civil suit has been decided on 29.09.2018. Learned counsel for the petitioners expresses ignorance for want of instructions from his client.

The present revision is arising out of the decision rendered in the application filed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, whereby the plaint was sought to be rejected on the ground of non-filing of ad valorem court fees.

In view of the aforementioned statement, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition. The same is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an appropriate application for revival of the same in case the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

February 27, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No