

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1746-2019 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:02.05.2019**

Parmila & others

... Petitioners

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)**

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking a mandamus for directing the respondent/Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited to pay to the petitioners a sum of Rs.50 lakhs by way of compensation on account of death of Amit Kumar which took place on 11.09.2015.

The entire case projected on behalf of the petitioners is that Amit Kumar had died on account of electrocution and due to negligence of the respondent/Nigam.

Having heard counsel for the petitioners at length and having perused the pleadings on record, it emerges that questions of fact have been raised. The solitary document relied upon by the petitioners to assert that the death of Amit Kumar had taken place on account of electrocution is a report of Gram Panchayat of the village appended as Annexure P-1. The same cannot be construed to be clinching and conclusive evidence of the manner in which Amit Kumar had died. Concededly, no post mortem was conducted and the matter was not even reported to the police authorities immediately thereafter.

In view of the above and while declining to intervene in the matter, writ petition is disposed of in terms of granting liberty to the petitioners to avail of their alternate civil remedies as may be available in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

**02.05.2019**

*harjeet*

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE**

- |     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |