

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CR-299-2017

Date of Decision : September 30, 2019

OM PARKASH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

INDERJIT YADAV AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. R.S.Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

The present revision is filed for setting aside the order dated 5.7.2014 vide which the application of the petitioner under Order 39 Rule 2A read with Section 151 CPC in respect of the contempt of Court order dated 24.12.2007 was dismissed as well as against the order dated 9.9.2016 vide which the appeal against the said order was also dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while arguing the present revision petition submitted that his electricity was disconnected inspite of the interim directions dated 24.12.2007. It is further contended that the defendants had been restrained from recovering the amount towards the impugned bills and the Court was insisting to pay the amount towards the said bills. Therefore, the respondents had committed the contempt of Court.

However, a perusal of the order dated 24.12.2007 shows that although the due amount towards the impugned bills was stayed but the

petitioner was required to deposit the amount towards electricity consumption as per the meter reading. However, nothing was produced either before the concerned Court or before the Appellate Court that he was continuing to pay the current electricity consumption bills. Even before this Court neither a word has been said qua the payment towards the current electricity bills and nor any such evidence has been placed on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to point out any infirmity in the orders passed by the Courts below.

The revision petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

September 30, 2019

ajay-1

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No