

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3351 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.05.2019

Manjit Kaur Jeji

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amrik Singh Randhawa

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Aashna Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

1. Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that her client (landlord) has already received possession of the demised premises by way of execution of the impugned eviction orders.
2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner-tenant, who was also unrepresented on the last two dates since 17.09.2018. Absence of the petitioner in the circumstances becomes understandable.
3. Since the revision has apparently become infructuous on account of delivery of possession of the demised premises to the respondent, the same is, therefore, dismissed as such.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

May 10, 2019

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No