

114
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 15:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-2019-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.1.2019

Soniya and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Sandeep Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for, Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 14.1.2019. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3 (Colly.). True relevant extract translated typed copies of Aadhar Cards, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexure-P-1 and Annexure-P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 14.1.2019 before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents, true typed copy of which is placed on file as Annexure-P-4.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Karnal-respondent No. 2, to

consider the application of the petitioners dated 14.1.2019 (Annexure P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No