

Om Parkash

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that he was beaten up by respondent No. 4 inside the police station. When a complaint was given at the CM window, the same was investigated by respondent No. 4 himself. Thereafter, another representation dated 12.10.2018 (Annexure P-11) has been submitted but no action has been taken thereupon. An FIR needs to be registered against respondent No. 4 for having wrongfully confined the petitioner and for assault.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 4.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 12.10.2018 (Annexure P-11) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

January 17, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No