

260.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1821-2019

Date of decision:02.04.2019

HARDEEP SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of F.I.R. No.0147 dated 18.10.2017 registered under Sections 354-D, 427, 506 of IPC at Police Station P.A.U., Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.12.2018 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 17.01.2019 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana and got their statements

recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 22.02.2019 to the effect that the compromise is genuine and has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

Respondent No.2-complainant, namely, Komalpreet Kaur has made her statement with regard to compromise before learned Magistrate on 18.02.2019. The same is reproduced as under:-

“I had lodged one FIR No.147 dated 18.10.2017 u/s 354-D, 427, 506 of IPC at PS PAU, Ludhiana against the accused Hardeep Singh @ Deepa son of Sh. Darshan Kumar, resident of house No.2298/1, School Wali Gali, Krishana Nagar, Ludhiana. Now with the intervention of the respectables, the matter has been compromised between us. Now I have no grudge against the above said accused and I have no objection if the present FIR/Case be quashed against the accused Hardeep Singh. I am giving the present statement out of my own free Will and consent without any pressure, coercion from any side. Copy of Aadhar Card is Ex.C1.”

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R.

Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.0147 dated 18.10.2017

Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise dated 10.12.2018 (Annexure P-2).

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

02.04.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No