

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-1876-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-23.01.2019**

Narinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 & 2 to take suitable action against respondent No.4 under the provisions of Section 16(e) of Punjab Municipal Act, 1911.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35A, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.11.2018/05.12.2018 (Annexure P-8) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Sector-35A, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.11.2018/05.12.2018 (Annexure P-8) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

23.01.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No