

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 1644 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.07.2019**

Paramjeet Kaur & Ors.

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG Punjab

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

CM No. 5836 of 2019

Application is allowed, as prayed for and accompanied
Annexures P-11 to P-13 are taken on record.

CWP-1644 of 2018

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during
pendency of the writ petition, orders dated 14.06.2019, 20.06.2019 and
24.06.2019 have been passed whereby part relief prayed by the petitioners
has already been granted.

Learned Senior counsel appearing for the State does not dispute
the same and states that in view of the said orders, the writ petition is
rendered infructuous.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that while
certain petitioners viz. petitioners No.2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14 have
been granted the arrears with effect from the date of submission of
application vide which they had sought the relief of stepping up, while on
the other hand, petitioners No.1, 4, 8 and 10 have been given the said

benefit with effect from the date of issuance of legal notice to the Department.

In the premise, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of petitioners No.1, 4, 8 and 10 on parity with petitioners No.2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14. In case the respondents feel that the said petitioners are not entitled to parity, then pass a speaking order by giving reasons in respect thereof, within a period of three months from today.

08.07.2019
Jiten

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE