

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 3195 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 16 , 2019.

Sunil Mohan Gupta

..... PETITIONER (s)

Versus

Shekhar Kumar

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.S.Bedi, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Present revision petition has been filed by the landlord challenging judgment dated 02.04.2016 passed by the learned Appellate Authority, Ambala whereby appeal preferred by the respondent/tenant against judgment dated 27.05.2014 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Ambala ordering eviction of the respondent/tenant, was allowed.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that this petition has been rendered infructuous as the respondent-tenant is not in possession of any

part of the premises belonging to the petitioner and neither is he running his business in a Khokha on the platform of the property in question. It is further submitted that in case respondent-Shekhar Kumar is using electric energy drawn from any meter/sub-meter of the demised premises, the same shall be disconnected forthwith.

Keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, this petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Needless to say, in case it is found that the respondent/tenant is in possession of any part of the premises or is using electric energy therefrom, the petitioner/landlord is at liberty to move appropriate application for revival of this petition.

January 16 , 2019.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No