

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1278-2019

Date of decision: 17.01.2019

Naina Vohra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

By this petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents not to replace her by transfer/deputation of the regular Extension Lecturer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Extension Lecturer in the subject of Commerce, who was junior to the petitioner has approached this Court and her writ petition bearing CWP-25505-2018 has been disposed of vide order dated 04.10.2018 (Annexure P-9) whereby direction has been given to the respondents to assess the workload and adjust the petitioner till regular selection is made.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to order passed by this Court in *CWP-21297-2018 Pooja Girotra V/s. State of Haryana and others* (Annexure P-3) whereby it was held that petitioner could not be replaced on transfer of a regular Extension Lecturer while referring to the judgment of *CWP-26077-2018 Mamta Rani and others V/s. State of Haryana and others*.

At this stage, this writ petition is being disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for

retention keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in *Mamta Rani's (supra)* in accordance with law.

17.01.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No