

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2739-2017

Date of decision: 21.11.2019

Paramjit Kaur @ Babbu

.....Petitioner.

vs.

General Public and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate for
for Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Goel, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Vishal Munjal, learned counsel representing Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, learned counsel for the petitioner, would seek an adjournment but Mr. Atul Goel, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 would inform this Court that the civil revision is rendered infructuous as the main suit has been dismissed in default by the trial Court as long back as on 15.1.2019.

Perusal of the record reflects that this civil revision was preferred by the plaintiff in CS No. 294/2014 on the file of the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Samrala, aggrieved by the order dated 30.1.2019 dismissing the application filed under Section 75(e) and Order 26 Rule 10A CPC for undertaking medical investigation by conducting a DNA test.

Mr. Atul Goel, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, would place before this Court a copy of the order dated 15.1.2019 passed by the

was dismissed in default on the ground that the plaintiff failed to evince interest in pursuing the case.

In the light of aforestated development, this civil revision does not survive for consideration on merits independently.

The civil revision is accordingly dismissed on that short ground.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

21.11.2019

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no