

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 222

CR-307-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 21.05.2019

Harnam Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State Bank of Patiala and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate, for
Mr. H. S. Jakhal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

On 24.08.2018, this Court passed the following order: -

“A perusal of the order sheets reveal that the matter had been adjourned several times on request made by learned counsel for the petitioner. On 15.11.2017 and 22.11.2017, the matter was adjourned by this Court on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner observing that no further adjournment shall be entertained.

Despite of the afore-referred orders, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, further opportunity is granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 30.01.2019.

It is made clear that if learned counsel for the petitioner does not address the arguments on the adjourned date, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

In spite of the afore quoted order, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not responding to the communications sent by him to the petitioner.

It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

21.05.2019
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No