

233-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.3895 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 31.01.2019

Sunder Lal Kalra

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kanti Chander Sen and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate, and
Ms. Preeti Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent/landlord submits that the petitioner/tenant has since vacated the demised premises, on account of which the present revision has been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the respondents, the non-appearance of the petitioner becomes understandable.

4. In the circumstances, the present revision petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

31.01.2019

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No