

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.467 of 2019

Date of Decision:22.01.2019

Pt. Bhagwat Dayal Sharma, University of Health Sciences Rohtak through
its Registrar

...Petitioner

Versus

Brajesh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Pt. Bhagwat Dayal Sharma, University of Health Sciences, Rohtak (for short `the University') is in the revision petition against the order passed by the learned first appellate court, granting limited protection to the plaintiff-respondent from evicting him except in due course of law.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the University admits that eviction is permissible only through the process of court, i.e. under the Haryana Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1972 (for short ` the Act of 1972), however, he has contended that the plaintiff-respondent being a licensee, has no right to continue in possession after expiry of the term.

Be that as it may, once the plaintiff-respondent is in possession, this Court is of the opinion that issuance of notice in the revision petition would further add to the delay.

Learned counsel for the University has undertaken that the petition under the Act of 1972 shall be filed within 15 days. However, he submits

that the authority under the Act of 1972 should be directed to expeditiously decide the petition.

Keeping in view the facts, if the petition is filed within 15 days, as undertaken, the authority under the Act of 1972, shall positively decide the same within four months without being influenced by the orders passed by the Civil Court.

Disposed of, accordingly.

22.01.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No