

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

220

CR No.2949 of 2015

Date of decision: 16.7.2019

**HARI MANDIR AND JANJH GHAR WELFARE SOCIETY
(REGD)**

.....petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr.V.K.Sandhir, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 15.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

On 13.3.2019, the case was adjourned in order to enable learned counsel for the petitioner to seek instructions to explain the circumstances in which statement was made by Vijay Mahajan on 18.7.2013 in the Court of Civil Judge (Jr.Divn.), Amritsar to the effect that he did not want to proceed with the suit and the same be dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was to explain the aforesaid circumstances particularly when the suit had already been dismissed as withdrawn on 25.5.2013. Learned counsel

for the petitioner states that despite his intimation to the petitioner, none has turned up to instruct him on the aforesaid requirement.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

July 16, 2019
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/Speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No