

**207-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 2730 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : September 18, 2019

Sunil Kumar alias Sital Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harjinder Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Ajay Singla, Advocate
 for the respondents.

 Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate
 for the applicant in CM-19125-CII of 2019.

LISA GILL, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner Sunil Kumar @ Sital Kumar present in Court, submits that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties. The property in question has been purchased by the petitioner's son from the respondent – landlords. Therefore, the petitioner seeks to withdraw this revision petition challenging the ejectment orders passed against him.

At this stage, it is noticed that CM-19125-CII of 2019 has been filed for impleading the applicant Gurjinder Singh son of Pritam Singh as respondent No. 4 in the present petition on the ground that the demised property has fallen to the share of the applicant in a family partition. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that a civil suit for declaration challenging the sale deed executed in favour of the petitioner has been filed by the applicant.

Learned counsel is unable to point out any ground whatsoever for impleading the said applicant as a respondent to this petition, being a proper or necessary party. Moreover, as noticed above, the petitioner seeks to withdraw this petition.

Petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn. Needless to say the dispute between the applicant and the respondents in this case and others shall be decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

September 18, 2019
rts

(Lisa Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No