

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

**CR-2266-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.02.2019**

Hari Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Nahar Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Satish Singla, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral):-

The short point in this petition is whether the suit filed by the respondent-plaintiff for injunction against the DHBVNL-the Electricity Carrier in respect of the electricity connection bearing No.MA-51-0229 AP, the other brother i.e. the petitioner can be permitted to join as defendant No.4 by family settlement? Concededly, the petitioner has already filed a suit against Nahar singh-plaintiff in the suit.

In my view, the remedy has already been availed and the petitioner cannot be permitted to interject in a suit seeking injunction against the Board as the plaintiff is a connection holder.

Revision Petition is dismissed accordingly.

22.02.2019
anju rani

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No