

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 1335 of 2019.

Date of Decision: 18.01.2019.

Shyam Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent No. 2 to take appropriate legal action on the basis of complaint dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the complaint dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent

No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Yamuna Nagar to consider and decide the complaint dated 22.09.2018 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.01.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No