

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.939 of 2019

Date of decision: 16.01.2019

Poonam Saini and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to allow them to continue as Extension Lecturers and not to replace them against temporary/contractual/transfer/deputation of regular employees.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No.1 & 2 are working as Extension Lecturers in the subject of Physics in Government College for Women, Rewari and government College, Bhiwani respectively, whereas petitioner No.3-Ajay Kumar is working as Extension Lecturer in the subject of Psychology. All the petitioners are not NET qualified.

As per judgment passed by this Court in **Mrs. Rita Tandon Vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP-16975-2014 (decided on 29.07.2016), non NET qualified Extension Lecturer can be replaced by NET qualified Extension Lecturer.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana,

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Copy of this petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

At this stage, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to consider retention of the petitioners keeping in view the aforesaid judgment passed in **Reeta Tandon's** case (supra), subject to the condition that their work and conduct is satisfactory.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

16.01.2019

ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No