

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-1702 of 2019  
Date of Decision : 13.05.2019**

**Sarabjit Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**...Respondent**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present:- Mr. Vishva Bahl, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Gors, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

***Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)***

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 539 dated 25.10.2017 under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that while he was working with Ramesh Chander, who is a Deed Writer, he forged his (Ramesh Chander) signatures and was also the attesting witness of the sale deed executed by Paramjit Singh in favour of his wife Inderjit Kaur Chawla. He has drawn my attention to the copy of the statement of Ramesh Chander, Deed Writer, who deposed in the civil suit as PW6, wherein he has stated that he had scribed the sale deed, which was executed by Paramjit Singh in favour of Inderjit Kaur Chawla. He had also stated in his deposition that Paramjit Singh was holding general power of attorney of Gian Singh but he did not carefully read the contents

thereof wherein Gian Singh (complainant in the instant FIR) who is the father of Paramjit Singh, had authorised Paramjit Singh to only execute the sale deed of the land on his name from Amritsar Improvement Trust, Amritsar. He further contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been implicated after more than a year and that co-accused Inderjit Kaur Chawla, who is the beneficiary of the sale deed, has already been granted anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-3399-2018 on 27.04.2018. He also contends that vide order dated 17.01.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijay Kumar, states that although the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is necessary to go to the root of the matter.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 17.01.2019 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 13, 2019  
dinesh

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes*  
***Whether reportable? No***