

**231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 2065 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.07.2019**

Nirmal Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Raj Mohan Singh

Present: Mr. Vipin Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. S.M. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 has been filed wherein it has been disclosed that Sh. Mir Mohammed Mehendi, Ms. Rehana Tasmia Rizvi, Ms. Bijesh Fatima and Sh. Mohammed Hussan Mustafa had no saleable right with them and the land allegedly purchased by the petitioner from her vendors was without title. The aforesaid stand taken by the respondents has been refuted by the petitioner on the ground that she may be permitted to approach respondent No.2 with all incriminating material to show that the title of her vendors had existed in terms of revenue record or any other legal records.

In view of the aforesaid, this revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn, however, liberty is given to the petitioner to approach

respondent No.2 and move a representation with all incriminating

information supported by evidence. In case, such a representation is filed within a period of one month, the same shall be decided by respondent No.2 within a further period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation.

09.07.2019
renubala

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No