

112
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 16:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-1797-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.1.2019

Vinod Kumar

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. Petitioner has been convicted for offence under Sections 279, 337, 338, 304-A IPC by trial Court, vide judgment of conviction dated 21.9.2017 and order of sentence dated 22.9.2017. His appeal is pending before lower appellate Court. Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR in question and judgment of trial Court on the basis of compromise.

I am of the view that considering that offences of rash and negligent driving and consequential deaths are increasing enormously, there is no ground to quash FIR in question and judgment of trial Court. However, if there is a compromise, petitioner can always pray before lower appellate Court for taking lenient view. Petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No