

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1920 of 2017

Date of Decision : 01.11.2019.

Pardeep Kumar Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

Kamlesh Chander Mahajan and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Majithia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Inderjit Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Senior Advocate for the respondents assisted by Mr. Inderjit Singh, Advocate, states that the only grievance of the petitioner was for transfer of the civil suit from the Court of the then learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ropar, to some other Court but the said officer has since been transferred and now the case is listed for hearing before the successor Court, therefore, the revision petition has become infructuous.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances he does not press the revision petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

01.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No