

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

239

CR No. 1919 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 14, 2019

Firm Rajinder Kumar Rajiv Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Sheetalwanti and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr. Sylvester, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (Oral)

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that possession of the demised premises has already been stated to the respondents-landlords on account of which the petitioners are not interested to pursue this revision.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 14, 2019

jyoti3

**[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No