

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1863 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision.02.04.2019

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs

Anjna Bansal and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

-. -

**AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)**

Mr. Mukand Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the revision petition provided he is granted two years time.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1/landlady submitted that during the pendency of suit, already 20 years have lapsed and two years is not a reasonable time but his client is not averse if some reasonable period is granted.

In view of the statement made by Mr. Rajan Bansal one year time is granted to the petitioner to vacate the shop in question, provided he files undertaking within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order before the trial Court and as well as before this Court and shall also clear arrears of rent within a period of 30 days and continue to pay future rent @₹96000/- per annum. In case of any violation of the

undertaking, the period granted by this Court shall cease and the landlady will have liberty to seek possession in accordance with law.

The revision petition is disposed of in above terms.

(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE

April 02, 2019  
Pankaj\*

|                           |     |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes |
| Whether reportable        | No  |