

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1788 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.12.2019

Mahender Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On December 11, 2019, this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Present:None for the petitioners.

Mr. R.D. Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

***On July 09, 2018, the Court had passed the
following order:***

***“Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the
petitioners.***

***Learned counsel for the petitioners
states that dasti notice have been served on the
respondents and prays for a short adjournment in
order to file service report.***

***List as and when the needful is
done.”***

***Thereafter, on 08.02.2019, none appeared for the
petitioners and the matter was accordingly adjourned,
and so is the position even today. Office report indicates
that service report in terms of the order dated
09.07.2018, has not been furnished to date.***

-2-

***In the interest of justice, adjourned to
16.12.2019.***

***To be taken up immediately after the urgent
matters.”***

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that despite repeated communications as also the reminders, neither the petitioners nor anyone on their behalf has contacted his office.

That being so, the Court is choice-less but to dismiss the revision petition for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

December 18, 2019
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO