

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.1726 of 2018

Date of Decision: 26.02.2019

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION PATIALA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

ASHOK KUMAR WALIA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondent has brought to the notice of the Court that against the order dated 18.09.2015 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Patiala, appeal was filed along with an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act before the Addl. District Judge, Patiala. The application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act was dismissed vide order dated 01.08.2016 and as consequence of that appeal was also dismissed by the lower Appellate Court. The said order has attained finality.

The aforesaid fact has been concealed in the present

revision petition.

It is a settled principle of law that if a party conceals material information from the Court, then the said party is not entitled to any equitable relief.

In view of above, no indulgence can be granted in favour of the petitioners. This revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

February 26, 2019

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No