

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

RA-CR-2-2019 in
CR No.3214 of 2017

Date of decision: 06.03.2019

Indian Oil Corporation Limited

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ponty Malik Construction Co.

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. V.N. Koura, Advocate and
Mr. Nikhil Mundeja, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Mann, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for sometime, counsel for the review applicant-petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the review application with permission to file a fresh application pointing out to the competent Court towards inability of the Arbitrator to perform.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the Arbitrator has not completed the proceedings because the Indian Oil Corporation has not paid his fee. Be that as it may, once the review-applicant is seeking permission to withdraw the review application with the aforesaid liberty, it would be for the Court before whom a fresh application is filed to adjudicate upon the same.

Hence, review application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

No order is required to be passed in miscellaneous application (CM-927-CII-2019) for condoning the delay.

06.03.2019
Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No