

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1039 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision:20.2.2019

Savitri Lohan

...Petitioner

Versus

Appellate Authority Under Payment of Gratuity Act 1972-cum-Deputy
Labour Commissioner, Hisar and others
Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.R.N. Loahn, Advocate for the petitioner

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

The matter is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in *The Managing Committee, Public School Bal Bhawan and others vs. The Controlling Authority and others*, (CWP No.23716 of 2013 decided on 17.8.2015) which has been relied upon by the Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972-cum-Deputy Labour Commissioner, Hisar in the impugned judgment dated 30.3.2018. Accordingly, the claim of the petitioner has to be restricted from the date when he became member of the cadre and rendered continuous service.

In view of the above, I find no merit in this petition which is accordingly dismissed *in limine*.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

**20.2.2019
MFK**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No