

121
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1071-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.1.2019

Khushi Ram @ Major

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishawajeet, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was convicted and sentenced for life in case FIR No. 276 dated 6.12.2007 under Sections 302 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sector 56, Gurugram vide judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 14.9.2009 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Gurugram. He claims that the case of the petitioner is covered under the policy dated 13.8.2008 (Annexure P-3) issued by Haryana Government Jails and Judicial Department but the authorities are not moving his case for pre-mature release.

It appears that Government must have some stand for not doing the same. In such circumstances, in order to know the stand of the Government, let the petitioner move a representation before the Superintendent of Jail, District Jail, Gurugram who shall pass the order within one month as to whether the case of the petitioner is liable to be submitted before the authority for premature release or not?

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.1.2019
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No