

(102) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1386 of 2017
Date of decision: 22.02.2019

Jagmal Singh Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present : Mr. DPS Joura, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present revision petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has deposited the demand raised by the respondent-Bank.

[2] Dismissed as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.02.2019
amit

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No. |
| 2. | Whether reportable : | Yes/No. |