

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1362 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.02.2019**

**Santosh and anotherPetitioners
Versus
M/s Parashar @ Parker and othersRespondents**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.T. Redhu, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. Notice of motion was issued on 14.03.2017. Notice regarding stay was also issued. On 25.07.2017, it was recorded that respondents No.8, 9, 11 and 12 have been served. Fresh notices were issued to respondents No.7 and 10. Notice to respondent No.1 was also issued.

[2]. Office of learned counsel for the petitioners did not supply correct addresses of respondents No.1, 7 and 10 and in due course, vide order dated 04.05.2018, revision petition against respondents No.1, 7 and 10 was ordered to be dismissed for want of correct addresses. Thereafter, on 06.09.2018, learned counsel for the petitioners sought time to serve necessary respondents in accordance with law. The case

was adjourned to 16.10.2018. On 16.10.2018, it was found that the order dated 06.09.2018 was not complied with. Still last opportunity was granted to the learned counsel for the petitioners to comply with the said order.

[3]. Office report shows that no step has been taken by learned counsel for the petitioners in the context of orders dated 06.09.2018 and 16.10.2018. Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate states that petitioners could not be contacted despite all efforts.

[4]. Faced with this situation, this Court has no other option, but to dismiss this revision petition for non-prosecution.

[5]. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

06.02.2019

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No