

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1411-2019(O&M)

Date of Order:10.07.2019

Satpal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Pradeep Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQRS., Hansi, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record. Copy supplied.

SI Kartar Singh, the Investigating Officer, who is present in Court, has submitted that more than Rs.7,00,000/- has come to the account of the petitioner and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required as the allegations are for total embezzlement of Rs.44,62,281/-, representing 131 cheques which were issued in the name of farmers. Whereas the petitioner is only an agent of ICICI Lombard Insurance Company.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in a connected case i.e. FIR No.13, registered on the same date i.e. 08.01.2013, anticipatory bail has been granted on 27.02.2019.

This Court has read the order passed by a Coordinate Bench on 27.02.2019 in CRM-M-75224-2018. It has been noticed that the petitioner in the aforesaid order had contended that the petitioner has not obtained any

benefit on account of misuse of the cheques of ICICI Lombard Insurance Company. The Court granted bail as Investigating Officer has made a statement that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

In the present case, facts are different. The Investigating Agency has found that more than Rs.7,00,000/- has been transferred in the account maintained by the petitioner.

In such situation, no ground to grant anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

July 10, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No