YOGESH SHARMA
2019.04.05 17:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1276-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.04.2019

Sarup Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Bharpur Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Ms. Sandeep Kaur Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Gopal S. Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. D.S. Sukarchakia, DAG, Punjab.

****

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The revision petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 03.04.2017, whereby the application of respondent No.1 filed under Order 7 Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, has been allowed and the suit of the petitioners-plaintiffs has been held to be not maintainable for want of jurisdiction.

Concededly, any order passed under the aforesaid provisions has a trapping of the decree as per the provisions of Order 2 Rule 2 of CPC and is appealable.

Faced with the aforesaid situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the present revision

YOGESH SHARMA
2019.04.05 17:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

petition with liberty to file an appeal along with application seeking condonation of delay as per Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

Ordered accordingly.

However, in case, such application is filed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the same shall not be objected to by the respondents-defendants.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

03.04.2019
Yogesh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**