

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-184-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:16.11.2019

Ram Mehar and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

Satyawan and others

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Subhash Rana, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against order dated 07.08.2018 vide which the respondent-Municipal Council was restrained from constructing the pacca street shown in the red colour with the further liberty to construct the gali if they wish to construct it in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties as per the statement given in the Court on 30.07.2018.

Notice of motion was issued and the Secretary, Municipal Council was directed to be come present in person. However, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and they were proceeded ex-parte. However, today, learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 are present but no one is present on behalf of the remaining respondents including the Secretary, Municipal Council, Narwana.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 states that the present revision is rendered infructuous as the street has already been constructed, whereas, this Court vide order dated 18.9.2019 had ordered

status quo to be maintained.

Accordingly, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, this revision petition is disposed of in terms of the interim order dated 18.9.2019 i.e. status quo shall continue to be maintained during the pendency of the suit.

16.11.2019
rajeev

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No