

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1439 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2019**

Ravinderjit Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Briz Mohan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 & 5.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed praying for protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners in view of the marriage solemnized by them against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

This Court, vide order of even date in the pre-lunch session, passed the following order:-

“ Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and filed his power of attorney.

Requisite number of copies of the petition be supplied to learned Counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

Petitioners as well as respondents No.4 and 5 are present in person in the Court and are relegated to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court today itself and report be submitted to this Court today itself. ”

In pursuance of the aforesaid order, the parties appeared before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and report has been received. Relevant Para 6 of the settlement reads as under:-

“ The following settlement has been arrived at between the parties hereto:

- a) Both the parties i.e. petitioners as well as private respondents have been interacted during the joint as well as single session and after intensive mediation held with the parties, the petitioner No.1 has remained unfazed and consistent in her stand to continue with the matrimonial alliance with the petitioner no.2.*
- b) Whereas the private respondents have stated before the undersigned in the presence of their lawyer that they will not any act which may be perceived as threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners and that from this moment onward they have disowned petitioner no.1 and as such they have no objection if she continues in her matrimonial alliance with the petitioner no.2.*
- c) Petitioner no.1 was made to interact with the private respondents separately while petitioner no.2 was asked to go out of the mediation chamber and during that interaction petitioner no.1 assured to private respondents that she is comfortable in the company of the petitioner no.2 who is stated to be the man of means as he is owning dairy business and therefore financially comfortable to look after the petitioner no.1.*
- d) In view of the fact that private respondents in the presence of their lawyer have stated that they will not create any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners the present mediation succeed to that extent and the matter may be sent back to the Hon'ble Court today itself. ”*

In view of the fact that the respondents, in their unequivocal terms, agreed that they will not create any threat to the life and liberty of the

petitioners, therefore, no further directions are required to be issued in the present petition and thus, the same is disposed off.

However, it is made clear that in case the occasion so arises, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach this Court again.

January 14, 2019
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>No</i>