

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CR No. 1200 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 24, 2019

SURINDER KUMAR JAIN

.....Petitioner

versus

SOURABH BAHRI

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Shivam Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.K. Aurora, Advocate
for the respondent.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that possession of the demised premises has already been delivered to the respondent-landlord on account of which the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

September 24, 2019

jyoti3

**[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No