

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2840 of 2019
Date of decision:-01.02.2019

Samsul Alam and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

As per pleadings on record wife of the petitioner namely Harbala (since deceased) was a rag-picker and on 05.09.2017 she came in contact with a live electricity wire that had snapped from the overhead electric line. Harbala died of electrocution.

Claim in the instant petition is for award of adequate compensation by the Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited.

Case presented by the petitioner is that his wife had died solely on account of negligence of the Nigam. A compensation amount of Rs.25,0000/- is being claimed.

During the course of arguments counsel has referred to a notification dated 18.05.2017 (Annexure P-4) issued by the Nigam whereby a Compensation Policy for the fatal/non-fatal accidents of human beings due to electrocution and compensation thereon has been framed.

Apparently petitioner got a legal notice served upon the claimant, claiming compensation on account of death of Harbala. The

Nigam respondent vide communication dated 21.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) called upon the petitioner to furnish certain documents as enumerated in the communication.

Precise grievance set-forth in the petition is that inspite of requisite documents having been furnished, claim of the petitioner is not being finalized.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited. A complete copy of the writ paper-book has been furnished to him in Court today.

With the consent of the counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of without even seeking a reply/response to the writ petition.

Mr. Manchanda, makes a statement that in case the documents in pursuance to the communication dated 21.06.2018 at Annexure P-6 issued by the SDO (Operation) Sub Division UHBVNL, Kundli have been furnished by the claimants/petitioners, the claim with regard to compensation would be processed as per policy framed by the Nigam and a final decision thereupon would be taken and conveyed to the petitioners within a period of two months from today.

In the light of such statement having been made on behalf of the respondent Nigam, no further directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.02.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No