
Present: Ms. Shamsher Kaur, Advocate
for the applicant/respondent No.3.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Arora, Advocate
for the non-applicant/appellant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of non-applicant/appellant states that she does not oppose the application.

Heard.

In order dated 18.12.2018, presence of Ms. Shamsher Kaur, Advocate has been marked for respondent Nos.1 & 2 instead of respondent No.3. Necessary correction in that regard has been sought. Let the necessary correction be carried out reflecting presence of Ms. Shamsher Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.3 and Mr. Raman Mahajan, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 & 2. Correction in that regard has been carried out.

It has further been contended that in the original award, recovery rights had been granted to the insurance company with respect to the compensation paid by it on behalf of respondent No.2 insured-Bhag Singh to the claimant. My attention has been drawn to para No.20 of the award, where in the concluding lines, it has been clarified that insurance company would be at liberty to claim reimbursement of the awarded amount from the owner of the offending vehicle. The prayer of the insurance company in the application under reference is that similar direction be issued with regard to the enhanced amount of compensation.

This contention has force. Accordingly, it is directed that such observations made by the tribunal shall be applicable with respect to the enhanced compensation also. Accordingly, the application is accepted.

13.03.2019

sumit.k

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE