

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-1166-2019

Date of Decision: January 21, 2019

Harpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana,
for respondents no. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate,
for respondents no. 4 to 6.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Both the petitioners are present in the Court and are identified by their counsel.

Petitioners have sought protection of their lives and liberty, *inter-alia*, taking the plea that they performed the marriage with each other, whereas private respondents are not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their lives and liberty from private respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners performed marriage on 09.01.2019.

Petitioners have also taken the plea that they are major and have performed their marriage with free will.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent no.2 – Superintendent

of Police, Ambala, is directed to consider the representation dated 09.01.2019 (Annexure P-4) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the aforesaid observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

January 21, 2019
vkd

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No