

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.932 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.12.2019**

Rohtas Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The grievance raised in the contempt petition is that application filed by petitioner is not considered.

Learned counsel for the respondents has produced public notice and details of application submitted by the petitioner. He submits that the application of the petitioner is pending at Sr. No.402 and same would be considered for draw of lots alongwith other applications received, in pursuance to the scheme starting w.e.f. 17.09.2018.

In view of above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

In case there is any technical defect in the application, the petitioner shall be informed by the respondent well in advance so

that needful can be done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that application of the petitioner, with which ₹50,000/- was deposited, is still pending. Let the respondents consider the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

December 03, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |