

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(117)

CWP-6479-2019

Date of Decision: March 11, 2019

Surjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Paul Kansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that respondents No. 3 and 4 who were junior to the petitioner, have been promoted to the higher post of Senior Assistant vide letter dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-2). Hence, the petitioner is praying that their promotion should be set aside and a direction should be given to promote the petitioner instead.

From the facts which have been submitted by the petitioner himself in the present writ petition, the petitioner retired from service as a Junior Assistant on 31.03.2018. Once the petitioner had already retired on 31.03.2018, there is no question that the petitioner can challenge the promotion of the personnel, who were promoted on 09.10.2018 i.e. approximately after 6 months of the retirement of the petitioner.

To support his arguments, no law has been shown by the counsel for the petitioner petitioner as to how a retired employee can challenge the promotion of two employees, who were in service, on the ground that they are junior to him, without realizing that he is no more in the service on the day when the said promotions were effected.

Under these circumstances, the claim which is being made by the petitioner in respect of the challenge to the promotion of respondents No. 3 and 4 is without any justifiable reason.

Dismissed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 11, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No