

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

COCF No.171 of 2019  
Date of Decision: 05.12.2019

Manju Bala

Petitioner

Versus

A.K. Nanda, Chairman, Sarv Haryana Gramin Bank, Rohtak

Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jain, Advocate for  
Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

The contempt petition is filed pleading wilful disobedience of interim directions dated 29.11.2018 passed in CWP No.30126 of 2018.

The relevant part of the order is reproduced below:-

*“In the meanwhile, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that interviews are slated to be over by 01.12.2018. In view thereof, respondent no.1 is directed to allow the petitioner to take his interview and keep his result in a sealed cover subject to the final outcome of the disposal of this writ petition.”*

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that petitioner was interviewed and her result has been kept in a sealed cover.

None has put in appearance for the petitioner inspite of three pass overs. Even on the last date, petitioner was not represented.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the respondent, the contempt petition is disposed of.

However, in case statement made today is found factually incorrect, petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE**

**December 05, 2019**

*pankaj baweja*

- |                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |