

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 827 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 03, 2019

SANTOSH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

INDERESH SHARMA, DIRECTOR AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Khokhar, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 28.09.2017 passed in CWP No. 22248 of 2017.

The operational part of the order is quoted below:-

“3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to consider the claim(s) of the petitioner unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 23.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, the concerned authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed through aforesaid legal notice, to release/disburse the same within a period of next one month. The factum of grant of interest be also considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as **“R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.**

4. *However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”*

Pursuant to the notice of motion, reply was filed annexing the orders dated 25.06.2018 and 07.09.2018. As per the orders petitioner was found entitled to ₹9,03,412/- alongwith interest @12% per annum.

Learned State counsel submits that the afore-said amount has been disbursed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not able to dispute the said fact due to lack of instructions. He, however, submits that he may be granted liberty to avail the remedy in accordance with law, if aggrieved of the amount calculated.

In view of above, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

SEPTEMBER 03, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>