214 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 764 of 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 26, 2019

SANJEEV KUMAR BANSAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ANIRUDH TIWARI AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Sethi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

*****

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law for claiming interest on the delayed payments.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

SEPTEMBER 26, 2019 **(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**
sham **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

SHAM SUNDER
2019.09.27 14:58
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
HIGH COURT CHANDIGARH