

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.14528-CII of 2019 in/and
COCF No. 736 of 2018**

Date of Decision: 17.07.2019

Harjinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

P.C. Chaudhri, G.M. NABARD

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 27.11.2013 passed in CWP No. 16872 of 1992 and order dated 10.1.2017 passed in LPA No. 638 of 2014.

The respondents filed LPA against the said order which was dismissed vide order dated 10.1.2017.

The relevant portion of learned Single Judge order is reproduced below:

"Consequently, the writ petition is allowed with costs assessed at `10,000/- and the petitioners shall be given the benefit of promotion from the date when the respondent No.3 to 33 were appointed and given all the consequential benefits with regard to the seniority, arrears of pay etc. The appropriate orders refixing seniority positions to the extent to which it is relevant for placing them in the promotion post and for releasing to them the monetary benefits shall be taken within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicated to the petitioners."

As per the said order, the petitioner was to be given the benefit of promotion from the date when the respondents No. 3 to 33 were appointed. It was ordered that appropriate orders regarding refixing the seniority positions shall be taken within 12 weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of the order.

The respondents have filed replies pleading that the order of this Court has been complied with. The order dated 8.6.2017 was passed whereby the petitioner was granted notional promotion from 11.1.1993, the date when respondent No.3 to 33 were granted. Further, revised combined seniority list has also been placed on record whereby the petitioner is at Sr. No. 762.

The petitioner appearing in person states that grievance still survives as the serial number of seniority list at which he is shown, is not properly fixed.

The directions of this Court have been complied with by passing order dated 8.6.2017, no further interference is called for in the present contempt petition.

Petitioner, if aggrieved of his seniority, is at liberty to avail remedy as available in accordance with law.

17.07.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No