

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 716 of 2018

Date of Decision: 10.09.2019

Harchand Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklnaey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate
for respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 27.9.2017 passed in CWP No.22139 of 2017.

"2. Though, no representation has been moved prior to filing of the instant petition by the petitioner, however, this petition is treated as representation and is disposed of with the direction to the respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in this writ petition and to decide the same in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-1) as well as in the light of various judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.10808 of 2007, CWP No. 7538 of 2014 and letter dated 11.05.2015 (Annexure P-9), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. However, relief shall stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in “Saroj Kumari v. State of Punjab and others”, 1998(3) SCT 664.

3. As far as grant of interest on delayed payment is concerned that shall also be considered in view of the observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case captioned as “R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court."

Reply by way of affidavit of Er. Daljit Inderpal Singh Grewal, Chief Engineer, Central Zone, PSPCL, Ludhiana, has been filed today, the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the reply filed and orders annexed thereto, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedy against the orders annexed with the reply whereby his claim has been rejected.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

10.09.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No